arXiv:1511.06847v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 21 Nov 2015

Response of perturbed spherium to external fields

Poonam Silotia [1,*] Bhawna Vidhani [2,†] Vinod Prasad [3,‡]

[1]*Department of Physics and Astrophysics, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India*
[2]*Department of Physics, Hansraj College, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India*
[3]*Department of Physics, Swami Shraddhanand College, University of Delhi, Delhi-110036, India*

Abstract We study the spectra and response of Hooke's law spherium (two electrons moving on a surface of a sphere and interacting via harmonic potential to external static and laser fields. The spectrum of the Hooke's law spherium is analysed in the light of varying coupling strength. In addition, the $< cos^n\theta >$, $n = 1, 2$ for different contributions of 'static' and 'laser' fields is studied and analysed.

Key Words:- spherium, coupling matrix elements, rotating wave approximation, dressed states

PACS Nos.:- 31.15.ac, 31.15.xf, 33.15.Kr

I Introduction

Recently much attention is being paid to the quasi-solvable systems such as Hooke's atom, two electrons on a sphere (spherium), ballium, jellium etc. due to their similarities to more complex real quantum systems. Such systems are now being used as a prototype in order to understand many physical properties of quantum systems. Loos and McGill have devoted much work to the study of spectra of various two electron systems such as: two electron systems on hypersphere, sphere, two electron quantum rings, electrons on concentric spheres, etc. [1]- [8]. As shown by Loos et. al. [1], two models of two electron systems namely harmonium or hookium, where electrons are bound by harmonic potential and spherium in which electrons are bound to move on the surface of the sphere, are quite useful to test various approximations [1] and references therein.)

In this work, we focus on the spectra of Hooke's law spherium which consists of two interacting electrons. The interaction between those two electrons is Coulombic and the electrons are forced to remain

*Corresponding author: psilotia21@gmail.com
†bhawna.vidhani@gmail.com
‡vprasad@ss.du.ac.in

on the surface of the sphere [2]. In addition, as shown in ref. [1], the electrons are interacting via a force constant. In addition, we study the response of such spherium to external static and electromagnetic fields. This kind of a system is not only valuable for the reasons mentioned above, but also a prototype for confined and/or hindered rigid rotor [9]. The interaction term of the Hamiltonian in case of spherium, can be taken as a hindering potential in case of hindered rotor. Hence, we study the spectrum of the system, for various coupling strengths and obtain energy eigenvalues and various coupling matrix elements.

The response of spherium to external electric fields, is of importance in a number of research areas of atomic, molecular and chemical physics. This can be used to understand the stereodynamics of collisions involving molecules and manipulating molecules and atoms using external fields [10]- [12]. The paper is organised as follows: in next section, we present necessary theoretical concepts and the computational method used to solve the problem, followed by results and discussion. Finally conclusion of the study is presented.

II Theoretical Methods

Let us consider two particles moving on the surface of a sphere of radius R. The coordinates of the particle on the surface of a sphere are given by $\Omega \equiv (\theta, \phi)$. The time independent Schrödinger equation for two particles, on the surface of a sphere, is given by (in a.u.):

$$\left[\frac{1}{R^2}\nabla_1^2 + \frac{1}{R^2}\nabla_2^2 + V(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)\right]\psi(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = E\psi(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \tag{1}$$

where

$$\nabla_i^2 = -\left[\frac{1}{sin\theta_i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i}\sin\theta_i\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i}\right) + \frac{1}{sin^2\theta_i}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi_i^2}\right] \tag{2}$$

and $V(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ is the interaction term.

As reported by Aghekyan et al. [13], various models of interaction potential such as Coulombic, Gaussian and many other forms of potentials, have been considered in literature for electrons moving on surface of sphere. However, here we have taken the interaction term $V(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ as harmonic and defined as αU,

where

$$U \equiv R\sqrt{2 - 2\cos\beta} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\cos\beta = \frac{\vec{r}_1.\vec{r}_2}{R^2} = \cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2 + \sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2\cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2) \tag{4}$$

and α is a constant.

With little calculations it can be shown that the above equation can be written as [1]:

$$-\frac{1}{R^2}\left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \cot\theta\frac{d}{d\theta}\right]\psi(\theta) + \alpha^2R^2\left(1 - \cos\theta\right)\psi(\theta) = E'\psi(\theta) \tag{5}$$

$$\left[-B_e\left[\frac{d}{d\theta^2} + \cot\theta\frac{d}{d\theta}\right] + \alpha^2R^2\left(1 - \cos\theta\right)\right]\psi(\theta) = E'\psi(\theta) \tag{6}$$

where B_e is taken as constant = $\frac{1}{R^2}$

$$\left[-\left(\frac{d}{d\theta^2} + \cot\theta\frac{d}{d\theta}\right) + \frac{\Omega'^2}{B_e}\left(1 - \cos\theta\right)\right]\psi(\theta) = \frac{E'}{B_e}\psi(\theta) \tag{7}$$

where $\Omega'^2 = \alpha^2R^2$.

$$\left[-\left(\frac{d}{d\theta^2} + \cot\theta\frac{d}{d\theta}\right) + \Omega^2\left(1 - \cos\theta\right)\right]\psi(\theta) = E\psi(\theta) \tag{8}$$

Solving above equation, we get energy eigenvalues of the system in units of B_e. We define Ω^2 as coupling constant (in units of B_e). By varying Ω^2, we get spectrum of the system for given values of Ω^2. In addition, this equation is similar to the equation of hindered rotation in some sense. To solve equation no. (8) we use finite difference method [14]- [16]. The response of such system to external field depends on the interaction matrix elements $< \psi|cos\theta|\psi' >$, hence we also evaluated, values of $< \psi_j|cos\theta|\psi_{j'} >$ and $< \psi_j|cos^2\theta|\psi_{j'} >$ for various values of coupling constant Ω^2.

When such a spherium is placed in external static and laser fields; it may be noted that for large values of R (exceeding few hundred a.u.), the energy difference between successive states is in the range of

meV (as shown in Table-I), hence the total Hamiltonian of the system can be written as:

$$H = H_0 + H^s_{int} + H^L_{int} \tag{9}$$

As mentioned earlier, all terms are represented in terms of B_e. H^s_{int} is the interaction of static electric field with the spherium, while H^L_{int} is the interaction of the laser field.

$$H^s_{int} = -RE'_s cos\theta \tag{10}$$

E'_s is the static electric field strength, $E_s = RE'_s$.

and

$$H^L_{int} = -RE'_L cos\theta \tag{11}$$

E'_L is the strength of laser field, $E_L = RE'_L$.

Hence time dependent Schrödinger equation for the spherium in static electric and electromagnetic field is given by (in a.u.):

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi = H\Phi = (H_0 + H_s + H_L)\Phi \tag{12}$$

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi = (H_0 - RE'_s \cos\theta - RE'_L \cos\theta \cos\omega t)\Phi \tag{13}$$

Since the $H = (H_0 - RE'_s \cos\theta - RE'_L \cos\theta \cos\omega t)$ is time dependent with period $T = 2\pi/\omega$ where ω is the angular frequency of the laser field. For the case, where ω is near to resonance frequency and the intensity is not too high, the solution of the time dependent Schrödinger equation can be written, using rotating wave approximation (RWA) [17]

$$\Phi_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \psi_j e^{-(i\lambda_j t)} e^{(-ij\omega t)} \tag{14}$$

where n is the total number of states taken into account, $\psi'_j s$ are the solutions of equation (8). Substi-

tuting equation (14) into equation (13) and using RWA we get a set of eigenvalue equation [18], [19]. The resulting equation can be written in matrix form. Now the resulting system is time independent. The quasi-energies (λ_n) and the corresponding eigenvectors (a_j) are evaluated by diagonalising the resulting equations. The transition probability for a transition from initial state j to final state j' are found by

$$P_{j\to j'} = |a_j, a_{j'}|^2 \tag{15}$$

It is worth mentioning that as the quasi energy matrix is time independent and the frequency of the laser field taken in the study is $\omega = E_2 - E_1$ which is very close to zero. Hence the dressed states are roughly time independent. So, we calculated the orientation and alignment in different dressed states as $< \Phi_j|cos\theta|\Phi_j >$ and $< \Phi_j|cos^2\theta|\Phi_j >$.

III Results and Discussion

Here, we have studied the response of a spherium to external static and laser fields. The radius of the spherium is taken to be 200 a.u. (i.e. $B_e = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} a.u.$). The spectrum of such a spherium resembles to that of a hindered rotor. We solve the time independent Schrödinger equation using Finite Difference method. In addition, the coupling matrix elements $< \psi_j|cos^n\theta|\psi_{j'} >$, (where n= 1,2) are also evaluated.

We present the energy eigenvalues (in units of B_e in Table-I). As can be seen from the table for $\Omega^2 = 0$ (i.e. coupling constant zero) the energy eigenvalues of the spherium are exactly equal to that of the free rotor. With increase in Ω^2, the energy eigenvalues change considerably for low lying states, however for higher states the variation in energy is small. This low variation is expected as perturbation of the energy levels will depend on the strength Ω^2.

The same can be said about the coupling matrix elements. For example, in Table-II the values for $< \psi_j|cos\theta|\psi'_j >$ are given for nearest neighbouring states. We can see that the coupling elements $<$

$\psi_j|cos\theta|\psi_{j'}>$=0 for Ω^2=0, as expected, however with increase in Ω^2, these values show sharp increase. The variation is significant for low lying states. The trend is also reflected in $< \psi_j|cos^2\theta|\psi_{j'} >$ as shown in Table-III. These matrix elements are required to study the response of spherium to external fields.

The variation of energy eigenvalues (in units of B_e) of the lowest eight states of a spherium with the static electric field (in units of B_e) is shown in Fig.1. The coupling constant is varying along the column having three values 0, 1 and 8, and the laser field strength in units of B_e varies along row with values of 0, 20 and 120. In the absence of laser field (fig. 1a, 1d and 1g) the energy levels show a shift with increase in the electric field i.e., showing a Stark shift. Compared to the Stark shift, the shift in energy due to increase in coupling constant is small as shown in Table-I. However, in the presence of laser field, the dressed states show many avoided crossing regions and all of the dressed states show significant red shift.

In Fig.2 is plotted the variation of probability of the lowest eight states of a spherium with the static electric field (in units of B_e). The coupling constant is varying along the column having three values 0, 1 and 8, and the laser field strength in units of B_e varies along row with values of 0, 20 and 120. When E_L=0, i.e., the first column, there is a change in the coupling constants and hence the change in the probability. The most affected coupling constant is $< 0|cos\theta|1 >$ resulting in a large change in the probability of the first excited state. Same can be said about the probability of other excited states. With increase in the strength of the laser field, oscillations set in the value of probability which increases with further increase in the strength of laser field.

Fig. 3 shows the variation of orientation parameter, $< cos\theta >$, of the lowest eight states of a spherium with the static electric field (in units of B_e). Along the column the coupling constant is increased and along the row the laser field strength in units of B_e increases. In the absence of the laser field, the orientation parameter for higher values of electric field strength attains some constant value for different states e.g. in all the three cases $< cos\theta >_0$ i.e. orientation parameter of the ground state approaches 1, 0.92 for $< cos\theta >_1$ and so on, which implies that the system is highly oriented even for higher values of coupling constants Ω^2. However, with increase in the strength of laser field, this pattern is broken and the system goes from orientation to anti-orientation for large values of E_L.

The variation of alignment parameter, $< cos^2\theta >$ of the lowest eight states of a spherium with the

static electric field (in units of B_e) is shown in Fig. 4. As shown in previous figures, the coupling constant is varying along the column and the laser field strength in units of B_e is varying along row. In the absence of laser field the alignment parameter for the ground state, $< cos^2\theta >_0$, increases as the value of Ω^2 is increased approaching $\sim$ 1.0 for large values of static electric field. Further, for large values of the laser field strength, the system is highly aligned. Alignment parameter for the first excited state with small values of Ω^2 first decreases and the increases with increase in E_s. For higher values of Ω^2, it shows lower values at $E_s = 0$ and then increases. However, its value remains approximately same for higher values of static electric field with increase in the coupling constant. For higher excited states, the alignment parameter shows variations with Ω^2. For the laser field strength E_L/B_e=20, the first and second excited state is affected most, with increase in the coupling constant. For E_L/B_e=120, the lowest three states attain almost constant values in strong electric field region. Higher excited states show oscillatory behavour.

Conclusion

In the present study, we have calculated the energies and the coupling matrix elements of first and second order, of a spherium. The energies and the coupling matrix elements show strong dependence on the strength of the interaction term (Ω^2), due to which the system shows interesting behaviour to external fields, shown in terms of variation of the dressed states, transition probability, orientation parameter and alignment.

Acknowledgement

One of us (PS) is grateful to University of Delhi for providing the funds under the 'Scheme to strengthen Reasearch and Development'.

References

[1] P.-F. Loos, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 032510 (2010).

[2] P.-F. Loos and P.M.W. Gill, Chem. Phys. Lett. **500**, 1 (2010).

[3] P.-F. Loos and P.M.W. Gill, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 052510 (2010).

[4] P.-F. Loos and P.M.W. Gill, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 062517 (2009).

[5] P.-F. Loos and P.M.W. Gill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**,113001 (2010).

[6] P.-F. Loos and P.M.W. Gill, Mol. Phys. **108**, 2527 (2010).

[7] P.-F. Loos and P.M.W. Gill, J. Chem. Phys. **132**, 234111 (2010).

[8] P.-F. Loos and P.M.W. Gill, J. Chem. Phys. **131**, 241101 (2009).

[9] B. Dahiya, A. Tyagi and V. Prasad, Mol. Phys. **112**, 1651 (2014).

[10] B. Schmidt and B. Friedrich, J. Chem. Phys. **140**, 064317 (2014).

[11] K. Sharma and B. Friedrich, New J. Phys. **17**, 045017 (2015).

[12] B. Schmidt and B. Friedrich, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 022111 (2015).

[13] N. G. Aghekyan, E. M. Kazaryan and H. A. Sarkisyan, Few-Body Syst. **53**, 505 (2012).

[14] V. Prasad and B. Dahiya, Physica Status Solidi **248**, 1727 (2011).

[15] B. Dahiya, V. Prasad and K Yamashita, J. Luminescence **136**, 240 (2013).

[16] P. Silotia, R. K. Meena and V. Prasad, Chin. Phys. B **24**, 020303 (2015).

[17] N. Singhal, V. Prasad and M. Mohan, Pramana: J. of Phys. **62**, 883 (2004).

[18] N. Singhal, V. Prasad and M. Mohan, European Physical Journal D **21**, 293 (2002).

[19] K. Batra, V. Prasad and M. Mohan, European Physical Journal D **20**, 191 (2002).

TABLE I: Energies of few lowest states (in units of B_e) of spherium for different values of Ω^2

Energy states	$\Omega^2=0$	$\Omega^2=0.1$	$\Omega^2=0.2$	$\Omega^2=0.5$	$\Omega^2=1$	$\Omega^2=3$	$\Omega^2=5$	$\Omega^2=8$
E_1	0.00000	0.09832	0.19333	0.45892	0.84231	1.90732	2.63438	3.480090
E_2	2.00000	2.10097	2.203942	2.52426	3.09057	5.47768	7.63857	10.26391
E_3	6.00000	6.10020	6.2008846	6.50579	7.02369	9.226819	11.64412	15.44325
E_4	12.00000	12.10006	12.20034	12.50254	13.01064	15.09957	17.28377	20.76488
E_5	20.00000	20.10000	20.20013	20.50131	21.00588	23.05675	25.16048	28.41905
E_6	30.00000	30.09996	30.2000	30.50069	31.00352	33.03625	35.10340	38.26954
E_7	42.00000	42.09994	42.19994	42.50031	43.00214	45.02463	47.07144	50.18754
E_8	56.00000	56.09992	56.19988	56.50005	57.00124	59.0173	61.05150	64.13691
E_9	72.00000	72.099902	72.19983	72.49986	73.00060	75.01233	77.03811	80.10317
E_{10}	90.00000	90.09988	90.19979	90.49970	91.00011	93.00874	95.02858	98.07939
E_{11}	110.00000	110.09986	110.19976	110.49957	110.99972	113.00603	115.02150	118.06188
E_{12}	132.00000	132.09985	132.19972	132.49946	132.99940	135.00391	137.01604	140.04853
E_{13}	156.00000	156.09983	156.19969	156.49935	156.99912	159.00219	161.01169	164.03804
E_{14}	182.00000	182.09982	182.19966	182.49926	182.99887	185.00075	187.00815	190.02959
E_{15}	210.00000	210.09981	210.19963	10.49917	210.99865	212.99953	215.00519	218.02263
E_{16}	240.00000	240.09979	240.19960	240.49909	240.99844	242.99846	245.00266	248.016799
E_{17}	272.00000	272.099784	272.19957	272.49901	272.99825	274.99752	277.00048	280.01182
E_{18}	306.00000	306.09977	306.19954	306.49893	306.99807	308.99668	310.99855	314.00750
E_{19}	342.00000	342.09975	342.19952	342.49886	342.9979	344.99591	346.99684	350.003724
E_{20}	379.00000	380.09974	380.19949	380.49878	380.99774	382.99520	384.99529	388.00036



FIG. 1: *Variation of energy eigenvalues (in units of B_e) of the lowest eight states of a spherium with the static electric field (in units of B_e).*

TABLE II: $< \psi_j \mid \cos\theta \mid \psi_{j'} >$ in spherium

$Transitions$	$\Omega^2 = 0$	$\Omega^2 = 0.1$	$\Omega^2 = 0.2$	$\Omega^2 = 0.5$	$\Omega^2 = 1$	$\Omega^2 = 3$	$\Omega^2 = 5$	$\Omega^2 = 8$
0-0	0.00000	0.03322	0.06620	0.16148	0.29873	0.57908	0.67811	0.74682
0-1	0.57629	0.57560	0.57356	0.55997	0.51963	0.35765	0.28334	0.23040
0-2	0.00000	0.00743	0.01479	0.03581	0.06450	0.09489	0.07209	0.04237
0-3	0.00000	0.16388	0.00009	0.00059	0.00215	0.00919	0.01151	0.00965
0-4	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00003	0.00039	0.00082	0.00110
0-5	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00000	0.00002	0.00006
0-6	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
0-7	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00002
1-1	0.00000	0.01992	0.03960	0.09488	0.16483	0.16359	0.00861	0.22235
1-2	0.51553	0.51550	0.51542	0.51486	0.51361	0.51229	0.48569	0.41276
1-3	0.00000	0.00218	0.00436	0.01092	0.02200	0.07000	0.11278	0.13015
1-4	0.00002	0.00001	0.00000	0.00007	0.00034	0.00348	0.00954	0.01798
1-5	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00009	0.00042	0.00127
1-6	0.00002	0.00002	0.00002	0.00000	0.00002	0.00002	0.00000	0.00004
1-7	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00001
2-2	0.00000	0.00475	0.00952	0.02389	0.04842	0.15750	.25108	0.25163
2-3	0.50633	0.50632	0.50631	0.50624	0.50595	0.50251	0.49706	0.49344
2-4	0.00000	0.00106	0.00213	0.00532	0.01065	0.03228	0.05523	0.09454
2-5	0.00002	0.00002	0.00002	0.00000	0.00008	0.00091	0.00269	0.00765
2-6	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00002	0.00008	0.00036
2-7	0.000003	0.00002	0.00003	0.00003	0.00003	0.00003	0.00002	0.00001
3-3	0.00000	0.00003	0.00444	0.01110	0.02224	0.06794	0.11796	0.20532
3-4	0.50327	0.50328	0.50328	0.50325	0.50317	0.50231	0.50041	0.49499
3-5	0.00000	0.00063	0.00126	0.00316	0.00633	0.01904	0.03193	0.05194
3-6	0.00003	0.00003	0.00003	0.00002	0.00000	0.00033	0.00099	0.00266
3-7	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00002	0.00009
4-4	0.00000	0.00130	0.00259	0.00649	0.01298	0.03912	0.06583	0.10816
4-5	0.50192	0.50193	0.50193	0.50192	0.50189	0.50156	0.50089	0.49914
4-6	0.00000	0.00042	0.00084	0.00210	0.00420	0.01261	0.02108	0.03394
4-7	0.00003	0.00003	0.00004	0.00003	0.00002	0.00013	0.00044	0.00120
5-5	0.00000	0.00085	0.00171	0.00427	0.00854	0.02567	0.04293	0.06933
5-6	0.50123	0.50124	0.50124	0.50123	0.50122	0.50106	0.50076	0.49998
5-7	0.00000	0.00030	0.00060	0.00150	0.00299	0.00898	0.01499	0.02406
6-6	0.00000	0.00060	0.00121	0.00303	0.00606	0.01818	0.03036	0.04878
6-7	0.50085	0.50085	0.50085	0.50085	0.50084	0.50076	0.50060	0.50020
7-7	0.00000	0.00045	0.00090	0.00226	0.00452	0.01357	0.02264	0.03631

TABLE III: $< \psi_j \mid \cos^2\theta \mid \psi_{j'} >$ in spherium

$Transitions$	$\Omega^2 = 0$	$\Omega^2 = 0.1$	$\Omega^2 = 0.2$	$\Omega^2 = 0.5$	$\Omega^2 = 1$	$\Omega^2 = 3$	$\Omega^2 = 5$	$\Omega^2 = 8$
0-0	0.33269	0.33306	0.33415	0.34152	0.36407	0.47327	0.54654	0.61395
0-1	0.00000	0.01150	0.022926	0.05585	0.10296	0.19824	0.23135	0.24255
0-2	0.29761	0.29747	0.29703	0.29405	0.28463	0.22830	0.17452	0.12119
0-3	0.00000	0.00502	0.01000	0.024373	0.04475	0.07776	0.07479	0.05678
0-4	0.00000	0.00001	0.00008	0.00053	0.00196	0.00916	0.01298	0.01369
0-5	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00003	0.00049	0.00110	0.00171
0-6	0.00001	0.00002	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00000	0.00004	0.00011
0-7	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00006	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
1-1	0.59895	0.59853	0.59727	0.58881	0.56246	0.42277	0.32964	0.29070
1-2	0.00000	0.00735	0.01465	0.03562	0.06498	0.09543	0.04081	0.06372
1-3	0.26143	0.26138	0.26124	0.26027	0.25745	0.24666	0.23754	0.21749
1-4	0.00000	0.00164	0.00329	0.00823	0.01652	0.05125	0.08328	0.01916
1-5	0.00002	0.00001	0.00000	0.00007	0.00035	0.00354	0.00970	0.10656
1-6	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00012	0.00055	0.00174
1-7	0.00002	0.00002	0.00002	0.00002	0.00002	0.00001	0.00000	0.00008
2-2	0.52297	0.52302	0.52315	0.52407	0.52724	0.55072	0.55519	0.48879
2-3	0.00000	0.00187	0.00375	0.00940	0.01897	0.06040	0.10024	0.12435
2-4	0.25517	0.25517	0.25515	0.25501	0.25451	0.24870	0.23762	0.22186
2-5	0.00000	0.00085	0.00171	0.00427	0.00855	0.02567	0.04304	0.07116
2-6	0.00003	0.00002	0.00002	0.00000	0.00009	0.00103	0.00299	0.00827
2-7	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00002	0.00011	0.00051
3-3	0.51038	0.51038	0.51040	0.51051	0.51091	0.51553	0.52604	0.55124
3-4	0.00000	0.00091	0.00183	0.00457	0.00916	0.02779	0.04747	0.08024
3-5	0.25291	0.25291	0.25290	0.25286	0.25271	0.25111	0.24771	0.23839
3-6	0.00000	0.00052	0.00105	0.00264	0.00528	0.01587	0.02646	0.04236
3-7	0.00003	0.00003	0.00003	0.00002	0.00001	0.00040	0.00119	0.00316
4-4	0.50585	0.50585	0.50586	0.50589	0.50601	0.50725	0.50986	0.51694
4-5	0.00000	0.00055	0.00110	0.00275	0.00551	0.01659	0.02781	0.04518
4-6	0.25184	0.25184	0.25184	0.25183	0.25182	0.25176	0.25111	0.24980
4-7	0.00000	0.00036	0.00072	0.00180	0.00360	0.01082	0.01804	0.02889
5-5	0.50372	0.50372	0.50372	0.50373	0.50378	0.50428	0.50529	0.50783
5-6	0.00000	0.00037	0.00074	0.00185	0.25122	0.01115	0.01862	0.02995
5-7	0.25125	0.25125	0.25125	0.25125	0.00371	0.25090	0.25027	0.24870
6-6	0.50256	0.50256	0.50256	0.50256	0.50259	0.50283	0.50331	0.50451
6-7	00.00000	0.00026	0.00053	0.00133	0.00267	0.00804	0.01341	0.02151
7-7	0.50187	0.50187	0.50187	0.50188	0.50189	0.50202	0.50228	0.50293



FIG. 2: *Variation of probability of the lowest eight states of a spherium with the static electric field (in units of B_e).*



FIG. 3: *Variation of orientation parameter, $< cos\theta >$, of the lowest eight states of a spherium with the static electric field (in units of B_e).*



FIG. 4: *Variation of alignment parameter, $< cos^2\theta >$, of the lowest eight states of a spherium with the static electric field (in units of B_e).*